

Penzance Truth Action

# Vaccination on Trial

Germ Theory vs Soil Theory



By Rob Ryder

# Copyright

Copyright 2016 Robert Ryder

Copyright 2016 Penzance Truth Action

Author Robert Ryder

Published by Penzance Truth Action

This digital book may be distributed freely.

All images are in the public domain or property of the author. The right of Robert Ryder to be identified as the author of this work has asserted in accordance with the copyright, designs and patents act 1988.


Contact- [ptavaccinationontrial@gmail.com](mailto:ptavaccinationontrial@gmail.com)

“Just look at us. Everything is backwards, everything is upside down. Doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, psychiatrists destroy minds, scientists destroy truth, major media destroys information, religions destroy spirituality and governments destroy freedom.”

— Michael Ellner

"... you will exercise your art  
**solely for the cure of your patients,**  
and will give no drug, perform no operation,  
for a criminal purpose, even if solicited,  
far less suggest it "

- Hippocratic Oath



## The UK General Medical Council

### Direct from their website

### Our role

**We are an independent organisation that helps to protect patients and improve medical education and practice across the UK.**

- We decide which doctors are qualified to work here and we oversee UK medical education and training.
- We set the standards that doctors need to follow, and make sure that they continue to meet these standards throughout their careers.
- We take action to prevent a doctor from putting the safety of patients, or the public's confidence in doctors, at risk.

Every patient should receive a high standard of care. Our role is to help achieve that by working closely with doctors, their employers and patients, to make sure that the trust patients have in their doctors is fully justified.

# Duties of a doctor

## The duties of a doctor registered with the General Medical Council

Patients must be able to trust doctors with their lives and health. To justify that trust you must show respect for human life and make sure your practice meets the standards expected of you in four domains.

### Knowledge, skills and performance

- Make the care of your patient your first concern.
- Provide a good standard of practice and care.
  - Keep your professional knowledge and skills up to date.
  - Recognise and work within the limits of your competence.

### Safety and quality

- Take prompt action if you think that patient safety, dignity or comfort is being compromised.
- Protect and promote the health of patients and the public.

### Communication, partnership and teamwork

- Treat patients as individuals and respect their dignity.
  - Treat patients politely and considerately.
  - Respect patients' right to confidentiality.
- Work in partnership with patients.
  - Listen to, and respond to, their concerns and preferences.
  - Give patients the information they want or need in a way they can understand.
  - Respect patients' right to reach decisions with you about their treatment and care.
  - Support patients in caring for themselves to improve and maintain their health.
- Work with colleagues in the ways that best serve patients' interests.


### Maintaining trust

- Be honest and open and act with integrity.
- Never discriminate unfairly against patients or colleagues.
- Never abuse your patients' trust in you or the public's trust in the profession.

You are personally accountable for your professional practice and must always be prepared to justify your decisions and actions.

About three years ago, 2013, we came across some data that showed the drop in infectious disease happened before the introduction of vaccines. We already had concerns about the safety and effectiveness of vaccines, then data from a booklet by Trevor Gunn “comparing natural immunity with vaccination”, gave us all the ammunition we needed to challenge the health authorities. Surprisingly after sending about one hundred copies of the data you see at the end of this book to local surgeries and to all the staff we did not get one single reply, even the local health authority, Cornwall, did not even acknowledge our letters. One local man, researcher Rob Ryder did get a reply as he sent his concerns to the health department through his M.P. This book is the results of his findings on health and vaccination.

Penzance Truth Action, Cornwall



In about 2011 I saw an interview on alternative media with medical biochemist and homeopath Trevor Gunn. Already wary about the dangers of vaccines I was stunned to see that they may not even be effective.

I had already researched the monetary system coming to the conclusion that the whole national debt is a fraud; basically money is created out of thin air to enslave people and nations. I could also see other things weren't right, all wars are corporate wars, always have been.

This book is the culmination of my research and challenges with the department of health, and going from a vaccinating parent in 2011 to stopping overnight. My youngest is now over four, never had a vaccine or visited a doctor for their drugs and is perfectly healthy.

We as a family only use homeopathy and osteopathy in cases of illness, which is rare, yes in an emergency I would still use hospitals and modern medicine, this book in no way criticizes accident and emergency treatment, this book tries to let people know that there is another way of looking at health and illness and that contrary to popular belief not everyone agrees with our two heroes Edward Jenner and Louie Pasteur, even in their own time. I am no medical or scientific expert and this in no way should be taken as medical advice but is meant to lead you to do your own research and make up your own mind, it is your life after all.

Rob Ryder



**"If we can really understand the problem, the answer will come out of it, because the answer is not separate from the problem."**

**Jiddu Krishnamurti**



# Health

## What is it to be healthy?

First we have to understand that we are all individuals, so for example a man of 5 feet 8 inches tall may weigh ten stones and have a different blood pressure and other things to a man of the same height but who weighs 14 stones. Maybe one is a runner, the other a rugby player, both physically fit for their build and lifestyle. So based on somebody's height it would be impossible to tell someone their perfect weight, blood pressure etc as we are all different.


Also health cannot be defined by lack of symptoms just as illness cannot be defined by the presence of symptoms. An example could be a fever, the body needs energy and vitality to produce a fever so the presence of a fever to burn up unhealthy tissues could be a sign of good health, healthy maintenance, whereas a person who cannot produce a fever could be loaded up with toxins struggling to expel them.

There are basic things a human needs to be healthy which include the body parts/organs in good working order, an alkaline bloodstream, a clean and healthy environment free from stress, good nutrition, and to be completely aware and in control of who you are, freely expressing yourself.

The sign of good health is known as vitality, the vital force that powers our cells. This we take from the energy source that is the sun, breathing clean fresh air and being true to

ourselves and expressing our true individualism. Signs of vitality are people who are creative, happy, alert, heal quickly and have a thirst for life. Lack of vitality could include depression, fatigue, low energy, slow healing and no sense of purpose. As quantum physics has shown we are all energy at our source, our physical bodies are perfect expressions of our true energetic selves.

So health could be best described as an individual being in balance with himself and the world he finds himself in, and as we are all different, we like different foods, climates, lifestyles and have different personalities we need to find our own personal balance. So if health is being in balance then sickness could be described as being out of balance, not being your true self. We will now delve into some theories of how we go from one state to the other. Please leave any preconceived ideas at one side and start with a blank page and go with the evidence and what feels right for you, after all the responsibility for your health is your own.



*"If I could live my life over again, I would devote it to proving that germs seek their natural habitat—diseased tissue—rather than being the cause of dead tissue. In other words, mosquitoes seek the stagnant water, but do not cause the pool to become stagnant" ~ Dr. Rudolph Virchow, the Father of Modern Pathology*

## The Germ or The Soil

### Louie Pasteur 1822 1894 Germ Theory

A survival of the fittest view, microbes came about and decided to attack and invade us from the outside. Microbes are non-changeable (monomorphism), they cause disease, each microbe with its own specific disease and symptoms. Solution, kill or avoid the microbe.

### Antoine Beauchamp 1816-1908 Soil Theory

A harmonious view that microbes are in all living things and evolve into different forms, bacteria, fungi etc depending on the soil (pleomorphism). Toxicity of the soil causes disease, and then microbes associated with the terrain will be seen. Their birthplace is from within the diseased tissue and not from outside, so they are not the cause but the result of toxicity of the soil. Like worms in a composter they are part of the cleaning up process. Solution, address the terrain (soil), look at diet, lifestyle, environment, stress etc, makes the needed changes and support the body in healing.

Obviously the world took to Germ Theory and ask any doctor or nurse if they have heard of Antoine Beauchamp and Soil Theory and the answer will probably be no.

## Consequences of Germ Theory

Microbes are to blame for illness therefore need for a silver bullet to kill the invader. So we have the birth of Big Pharma. Governments and big corporations don't have to worry about the environment and social conditions. Most importantly people are not responsible for their own health and no lifestyle changes needed, we are just random victims, we live in fear of microbes and become dependent on doctors and their corporate drugs.

## Consequences of Soil Theory

Things like lifestyle, diet and environment to blame for illness. No need for Big Pharma drugs and vaccines. Governments and big corporations need to keep environment clean and raise social standards. Most importantly YOU are responsible for your own health and it is YOUR responsibility when you get ill.

Fact, the huge drop of so-called infectious disease happened BEFORE mass vaccination. Scarlet Fever, once a big killer fell to a zero death-rate with no vaccine ever produced. With compulsory Smallpox vaccination the death-rate went dramatically up. Clean water and sanitation were responsible for improved health, not vaccine programmes, this obviously backs up Soil Theory as if you maintain a healthy inner and outer environment health will prevail and microbes associated with disease will not proliferate out of control. Ask any dentists why you get a gum infection and he will tell you

for not brushing your teeth properly or bad diet, in other words keep the environment clean and no infection, yes a dentist whether they know it or not believe in soil theory.

Though Big Pharma with their drugs and vaccines like to take all the glory for the drop in disease this is clearly not the case. So why do the governments sing the same tune? Could this be because the world is just one big corporate/fascist state? You decide.

We know that bacteria don't attack healthy cells, so how can they be the cause of disease? What science has been telling us for decades is that bacteria are borne from within diseased tissue and come to life to feed off the diseased tissue till it is no more, therefore cleaning it up, then they die or change form. Obviously being alive they produce their own waste products that can add to the already toxic environment, but this is due to the initial toxicity that it is now feeding off. Giving antibiotics may give initial relief and in certain circumstances when the bacteria enter the bloodstream may save life, but by just getting rid of the bacteria it doesn't do anything to address terrain, so inevitably the problem will return if you don't go back and address the initial toxicity.


Also in the book "The Science of Health and Healing" by Trevor Gunn, graduate in medical biochemistry and practising homeopath, he is quoted as saying "we also know that viruses transfer useful genetic information from cell to cell

and to other individuals in healthy cells, yet surprisingly we have **never** been able to show a virus infecting a host cell from the outside to the inside creating a diseased cell”

Also we know viruses are not alive and that they are created when a cell becomes diseased as Patrick Quanten former G.P says “when a cell becomes diseased and the function of that cell begins to falter it starts to come apart at the seams. Bits of its essential structure, the DNA and RNA, may become detached as the cell itself is falling apart” These viruses, broken down bits, waste bags of genetic material, of our own diseased cells are the result of disease and again not the cause. On discovering viruses in diseased patients they just replicated the Germ Theory, yes they are present but in no way does it show they are the cause of the disease. So even these dreaded viruses that we are told go round the world causing devastation like Ebola and Swine Flu, HIV, , their story is not based on known science, just a theory and lots of presumptions. The origin of these viruses and how they survive for years going underground, they never explain that, all just story. Clearly there are no real infectious diseases just infectious disease conditions, poverty and ignorance being the main cause of disease. And remember when we talk about toxins in the body this includes hatred, fear, stress chronic hardship and unhappiness and not just physical things like bad food and living conditions.

Please consider the evidence and make up your own mind

Taken from Trevor Gunn's book "vaccines"



This graph clearly shows the connection between toxicity and viral illness, backing up what both Patrick Quanten and Trevor Gunn are saying that viruses are created from within our own cells when they are ill/poisoned, so again there is no external viral attack. When we here fantastic stories of new viruses causing chaos round the world maybe we need to look at the conditions that surround these disease outbreaks, things like malnutrition, clean water, sanitation, pesticide use, vaccination campaigns and environmental contamination. A new viral attack! Yes you can hear the vaccine makers laughing all the way to the bank. Remember fear is a toxin; the fear alone can make you ill.



## Koch's Postulates

In 1890 the criteria for seeing if a given bacteria was the cause of a certain disease was put down by Robert Koch, German physician and bacteriologist.

The bacteria must be present in every case of the disease.

The bacteria must be isolated from the host with the disease and grown in pure culture.

The specific disease must be reproduced when a pure culture of the bacteria is inoculated into a healthy susceptible host.

The bacteria must be recoverable from the experimentally infected host.

These criteria, though before the time when we could identify viruses, could also be used to prove causation of viruses in disease. The problem they have is that many bacteria and viruses that we are told are the causes of disease are found in many people without producing any symptoms at all. Hib, Meningococcal and Ecoli bacteria we are told cause meningitis are found in healthy people, as are HPV, HIV herpes and polio viruses. So how is it possible that these are the causes of disease? Obviously these microbes have their place in our inner ecosystem, they have a job to do and for most of us don't cause a problem, so for us to find them in a disease situation we need to ask ourselves what changed in the body for these microbes to be associated with disease? Remember the criteria say these microbes must be

present in all cases of the disease; therefore a healthy person shouldn't have microbes we are told are the causes of disease in their system. Why is it that bacteria we already have in us can become invasive and cause meningitis?

And why has it not been shown possible to infect a healthy person with a pure virus and make that person ill with the associated disease?

Obviously there is a bigger picture here that needs investigation, but by whom?

Are Big Pharma going to look into research that could undermine their whole business?

Are the government going to invest in research that could prove they have been in the pockets of the big corporations all along?

I suppose the answer to these questions depends on your own perspective and how you see the world. If you see a world run by the wisest and most compassionate people then you would say they are doing their best in difficult circumstances. If you see the world run by criminals and warmongers all for corporate profit then you may have a different answer. Remember "weapons of mass destruction", about a million people including innocent women and children murdered in the name of freeing them from a tyrant, they wouldn't do that, or would they?

“The processes of disease aim not at the destruction of life, but the saving of it”

Frederick Treves 1905

## Symptoms

Damaging or curative reaction?

When we are ill we become aware of this as the body produces symptoms like fever, vomiting and rashes etc. But what do these symptoms mean. Compare the view of your G.P and say a homeopath.

### G.P

Basically a microbe or poison has come into your body and caused a malfunction in the body like fever or a rash. Therefore the doctor will look at his list of drugs to find one that will stop or suppress the symptom as they see the symptom as the problem. So by taking away the discomfort (symptom) the patient feels well and believes all is fine again. Though doctors are aware that fevers are getting rid of unhealthy cells they still treat most of the time as though this is the problem, the body going wrong, a malfunction, part of a broken machine not knowing what it is doing.

### Homeopath

They are trained in Soil Theory and view symptoms, or patterns of symptoms, as an intelligent reaction by the body to try and bring back balance, basically a clearing out of bad

stuff. Therefore they prescribe safe remedies that aid the body in whatever symptom is being shown, kind of giving the body a helping hand, going with the intelligence of the body and trusting that the body knows what is best, after all it has had plenty of time to evolve and perfect these responses.

### Consequences

#### G.P

Suppressing symptoms can give quick relief and a feeling of being well but has the problem really gone away?

If the body has produced a symptom to rid itself of toxins or unhealthy cells and this is suppressed what happens to the toxins and unhealthy cells?

And as all drugs are toxic themselves the body now has to deal with the extra toxicity. So the body may try again and if this is suppressed we are now moving from acute illness to chronic illness. Constant suppression can only lead to the body holding in more toxins leading to more chronic illness and the death. Maybe this is a better explanation for the explosion of cancer and other chronic illnesses than blaming a microbe or a faulty gene.

#### Homeopath

Going with symptoms and supporting the body under stress aids the body to detoxify and restore balance. There is also no extra toxicity for the body to deal with from drugs.

In Trevor Gunn's book "the science of health and healing" he points out four possible outcomes of acute illness.

The individual resolves the illness and as a result their health is improved and they are stronger than they were before. They are less susceptible to those problems after the illness and more able to deal with them.

The individual resolves the illness but there has been no learning as such, they are not stronger than they were before, they effectively carry on as they were before the illness, just as susceptible to succumbing to the illness as they were before.

The illness is not resolved and as a result the health of the individual is worse than before and they descend into a lower level of chronic illness, more susceptible than before.

The illness is not resolved and the patient is unable to react sufficiently to overcome the problem and dies.

So the reader needs to ask themselves. If a doctor gives you a drug when you are ill and the symptoms go away are you actually in better health? No doubt in accident and emergency modern medicine excels, but constant suppressing of minor conditions cannot be beneficial for humanity. Why is there so much chronic illness in society like diabetes, arthritis and cancer? Could it be that constantly suppressing acute illnesses pushes these problems deeper into the body causing chronic illness? Why do some people

go through stages of symptoms like eczema, asthma, psoriasis, allergies etc? Could it be that by suppressing one we are creating the next one? In Bruce Lipton's book "the biology of belief" he quotes studies in the U.S.A that put doctors as the third biggest killer at 100 000 per year. He mentioned a more detailed study that may put this figure at 300 000. Are doctors and their drugs our biggest killers?

When a doctor vaccinates a child he is aware there may be a reaction, they do not mention it but this reaction could take days, weeks or months or more. What then happens when later on the child has a high fever, possibly reacting to the poisonous vaccine? More often than not you are told to lower the fever with calpol, yes the reaction of the body to cleanse itself from the poisons is stopped in its tracks leaving the toxins inside and maybe pushing them deeper into the body, UTTER INSANITY.

Please do your own research and take control of your own health, again, it is your life after all. Hopefully you will come to the conclusion that the only person who can cure you from any illness is yourself, certainly not a G.P, even a Homeopath can only help your body heal itself.

Be wise, think and research before you immunize

“Medicine is the study of disease and what causes man to die. Chiropractic is the study of health and what causes man to live.”

BJ Palmer

Bartlett Joshua Palmer 1882-1961  
father of chiropractic

To find health should be  
the object of the doctor.  
Any one can find disease.

Andrew Taylor Still

PICTUREQUOTES.COM



PICTUREQUOTES

Andrew Taylor Still 1828-1917

Father of osteopathy, physician, surgeon, author and  
inventor

Examples of graphs from book "the cruel deception" Dr. Robert Sharpe 1988

Again after ww11 ddt pesticides introduced and polio death rate rises sharply





# Vaccination

Do the benefits outweigh the risks?

## We are told

Vaccination simulates disease

Stimulates the immune system

Creates antibodies that protect us

Saved us from infectious disease

Wiped out smallpox

Are safe and the benefits outweigh the small risk

Unvaccinated = no protection and herd immunity protects the weak

## Reality

Vaccination in no way simulates disease as it has never been proven that invading microbes are the cause of infectious disease

Vaccination by-passes over 80% of the immune system (the digestive tract, gut flora and internal membrane) and attacks the rest

Antibodies are no indication of protection, and in the case of HIV are indicative of an active infection as they only test for an antibody to a protein they say is from the virus, they don't test for the virus itself. Indeed Dr. Clements of the World Health Organisation and Expanded Programme on Immunisation in a reply to Trevor Gunn on behalf of the informed parent in 1995 agreed "there is not a precise relationship between seroresponse (antibody production) and protection" Yes the whole basis of their vaccination programme, antibodies protect us, is flawed

The graphs at the end show us vaccination never saved us from infectious disease, clean water and sanitation and better living conditions should take all the glory, and not Big Pharma, again supporting Soil Theory

When they say a vaccine is 95% effective what they mean is in tests, mainly in the lab, a certain vaccine produced an antibody reaction 95% of the time, and as antibodies are not specific how can you be sure as to which ingredient in the vaccine the body is reacting to, and again as above we know antibodies do not equate to protection. In a real disease situation this statistic is irrelevant.

The smallpox death-rate increased dramatically with compulsory vaccination in the mid 1800,s then dropped off again with all infectious disease worldwide. Indeed as Trevor Gunn mentions symptoms of smallpox are still found in the world today under other names like monkey pox. This is a clever trick used called the re classification of disease which can be conveniently used at will to mislead the public into vaccine success. Trevor also points out the only smallpox viral particles we have left are from ones produced for the vaccines that have been changed over time during the production process, then no wonder we don't find these viruses in the natural world anymore. Was smallpox ever wiped out?

With viruses being created within us from cellular breakdown then you cannot ever wipe out smallpox or any disease permanently. Even if there is no microbe to a certain disease in the world now, bring back the same conditions and the same disease will and microbe will return again being created from within us

Vaccination simply just poisons the system. As the microbe itself doesn't seem to create an immune response an adjuvant (toxin) is added. Aluminium is a know adjuvant and neurotoxin, then with preservatives

like mercury based thiomersil a known neurotoxin and rubbish like formaldehyde, antibiotics and animal products then you have a potent toxic cocktail. How can this possibly be safe and not linked to things like autism, shaken baby syndrome, cot death and the mass of neural disorders seen in America and the west today. Babies do die every year directly from vaccination, fact. How many? As the effect of the poisonous vaccine may take time to react in each child the true figure if ever properly investigated could well turn out to be shocking,

[The Sunday Times 24/10/10 "40 deaths linked to child vaccines over seven years"](#)

[Express 30/12/12 "ten deaths linked to having flu jab" FOR 2011](#)

Then the benefits, well look at the graphs at the end what benefits?

As for no vaccination = no protection, how about our highly evolved immune system. Surely aiding with nutrition, vitamins and minerals and healthy living conditions give us better protection, the graphs prove this. Just look at the graph for scarlet fever, a complete drop with no vaccine ever produced. And "herd

immunity”, well first you have to prove that microbes cause disease, then ignore all the evidence of pleomorphism and antibodies then all you are left with is emotional blackmail, and saying unvaccinated kids are putting others at risk is just pure ignorance, fear and denial of the facts, plus if vaccines really work and you are vaccinated what is the problem.

It is important to know the government only publish the graphs of notifications of disease mainly from the 1940,s and 1950,s, except diphtheria from 1914 with death rate, in the doctors “green book, immunisation against infectious disease” not the death-rate from the 1800,s which shows us a bigger picture.

Jane Ellison M.P, Undersecretary of State for Public Health when sent these graphs, her own government graphs, replied the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation “considers all currently available relevant evidence” “the JVIC takes into account reliable data on disease and death as a result of vaccine preventable infectious disease” So it is not deemed “relevant” to publish that all infectious disease dropped dramatically BEFORE mass vaccination. And do they not consider their own data “reliable”? Also they mention “vaccine preventable disease” well surely

you need to provide evidence that disease can be prevented with vaccines before you make that statement, yes we already know beyond doubt that clean water and sanitation can prevent disease, but where is the data for “vaccine preventable disease”?

This is clearly Orwellian double speak.

How can this comply with the consent law that Jane Ellison was reminded of and also quoted “for consent to be valid it must be voluntary and informed and that the person must be given full information about what the treatment involves, including benefits and risks”

Are we being informed? Do we have full information?

What are the benefits? What are the risks?

As Patrick Quanten says in his UK column interview, voluntary means “I have to have no fear to say no.”

Is this true of your experience?

Jane Ellison goes on to say they produce “a range of leaflets, newsletters....which enable people to make an informed decision about accepting medical treatment, including vaccination. In addition healthcare workers discuss the possible implications of treatment (both

positive and negative) with individuals prior to that treatment being given”

Please go into your local health clinic or doctors surgery and ask for information of vaccination and see what you are given. In your own experience were you “informed” before vaccinating? Ask for a copy of the insert to the vaccine and look at the ingredients and the side effects. Are our health authorities protecting a multi billion pound business based on bad science because they are afraid to challenge it and open up over one hundred years of publishing information for what Patrick Quanten says “holds up the ivory tower”?

Do they really want to open up that can of worms?

In 1998 Trevor Gunn challenged the W.H.O to show him papers showing the effectiveness of vaccines. Dr .Clements sent him various papers and when Trevor sent them back highlighting all the flaws in the papers, the reply from Dr.Clements working for an organization with unlimited resources was “you ask many questions in the text of your letter which would entail a considerable amount of work on my part to answer. While of great interest to you and me, I am not sure that it really benefits lay audiences.... I do not feel that

it is the right medium to embark on a scientific point by point defence of vaccines” the full reply is published in Trevor’s book “Vaccines”. Unbelievable!

The banking crisis of 2008, and the many before that, should tell you who our government really represent.

Even when I sent Jane Ellison information on the “Gerson Therapy” which has good evidence of curing cancer even in terminally ill patients for decades with nutrition and detoxification there was simply no interest, even when it was mentioned it could be offered only to terminally ill patients with no hope, simply no interest in a cheap way to cure cancer yet they continue with their toxic chemotherapy and radiotherapy which if the cancer doesn’t finish you off the treatment surely will.

#### The cancer act 1939

“No person shall take part in the publication of any advertisement\_

A: containing an offer to treat any person for cancer or to prescribe any remedy therefor, or to give any advice in connection with the treatment thereof”

Yes can you believe it; it is illegal to cure cancer, another multi billion pound business.



Also why does the NHS on their website under fluoride imply it is natural calcium fluoride in toothpaste and water fluoridation treatment? When asked to confirm this Jane Ellison again says “the fluoride chemicals used to fluoridate drinking water are hydrofluorosilicic acid, sodium fluorosilicate and sodium fluoride” basically all toxic chemicals. Again what happened to fulfilling the consent law to be “informed” and “the person must be given full information”? Remember toddlers swallow their toothpaste until about three years old, did you know or were you informed by your health visitor or dentist of this? In fact go in to a dentist and ask what would happen if a toddler accidentally opened a tube of fluoride toothpaste and swallowed it?

Our local M.P at the time of the correspondence with Jane Ellison in south west Cornwall Andrew George had no comment, says it all really.

Maybe we need to start concentrating on our own health instead of on sickness. We have a health service and health professionals all focused on sickness , but if you don't want to be ill then surely you need to be healthy, let's stop attacking illness and accept it is just there to tell us something is wrong in our life , basically doing us a favour. Don't shoot the messenger

“It is no measure of health  
to be well adjusted to a  
profoundly sick  
society.”

-Jiddu Krishnamurti



# Balance

Yes we lived in a profoundly sick society, all of us, a sickness that has infected all nations all cultures and all people. We, humanity, are out of balance. To restore balance individually and collectively we need to become conscious, conscious of who we are, of our actions and how they affect others. Most of the world are living semi-conscious or unconscious lives, indoctrinated to act or think a certain way by a system that isn't even real, by organised religion and belief systems that serves to imprison the spirit of man. We identify with our nationality, but even that is a man-made idea. What we are, all are, are sovereign human beings, we have just forgotten, helped by our controllers who want us to forget. True balance is expressing the fullness of who you are, your true self, in harmony with your surroundings and respecting every other expression of self under the universal law of "do no harm". When you cannot be yourself, whether it is because of indoctrinated belief systems, oppressive regulations of society, or fear of being different then you cannot truly be in balance. Fearful,

indoctrinated minds create a “survival of the fittest” mentality leading to wars and violent behaviour, and just in case people start to “wake up” we have the endless distractions to divert our attention. The search for the true self means throwing away all that is false, like looking for a needle in a haystack, throw out what is not the needle and eventually what is left must be the needle. Belief systems must go as they create limitations, a realisation that no man has authority over another is a must, understand that we are all different expressions of self , there is no one size fits all, and look to nature to guide you to as nature always looks to be in balance. And also accepting that our life is our own we cannot expect or insist that everyone else has to “wake up”, the only person that needs to change is you as you have authority over yourself and no-one else “be the change you want to see in the world” Ghandi. We are spiritual beings having a human experience that is it, nothing else to learn just to unlearn and then express your true self.

“the mystery of life is not a problem to be solved it is a reality to be experienced” Alan Watts

And as a last thought. Clearly all the wars, economic meltdowns, hatred and violence in the world are all just symptoms. Lack of compassion, empathy, unity and morality are all just symptoms of the root cause being lack of conscious behaviour, balance. Focusing on these root causes may bring an end to war and social chaos. And maybe the psychopathic elite are just parasites feeding off our own toxic emotions. Take away the food and they cease to be.

Thank you for the hard work of Patrick Quanten, Trevor Gunn, Ian Sinclair and Magda Taylor, this booklet is meant to be an easy to read introduction based on all their work and research.

As Max Igan says “if I used your work it is because it was the best” [www.thecrowhouse.com](http://www.thecrowhouse.com)

#### Websites

[www.vierascheibner.com](http://www.vierascheibner.com)

[www.informedparent.co.uk](http://www.informedparent.co.uk)

[www.activehealthcare.co.uk](http://www.activehealthcare.co.uk)

[www.trevorgunn.com](http://www.trevorgunn.com)

[www.vaccinetruth.org](http://www.vaccinetruth.org)

## Books

- Trevor Gunn – The science of health and healing  
Vaccines  
Comparing natural immunity with  
vaccination
- Ian Sinclair- Health, the only immunity
- Henry Lindlar- Nature cure
- Bruce Lipton- The biology of belief
- Charlotte Gerson & Morton Walker The Gerson Therapy

## Watch-YouTube

- Patrick Quanten UK column interview
- Cancer is curable now
- Rick Simpson, run from the cure
- The living matrix
- The fluoride deception
- Cancer the forbidden cures

Below is the actual notification and death-rate for England and wales which was sent to the department of health through our local south west Cornwall M.P. Andrew George

The small pox data and infectious disease graphs below are what were sent to the Department of Health who confirmed the data as being correct. Also Patrick Quanten, a former G.P. himself, explained in his UK column interview that you can't have good notification, at best it is just an opinion, a guess, and if a doctor sees one or two cases of say measles, he may just presume everything else is measles. Or there may be an outbreak of measles in a vaccinated group and the doctor presumes it must be something else as they have been vaccinated. A good example is the Wales measles outbreak in 2013. All the fear mongering in the press and out of 446 notifications from 1 Jan-March 31 there were just 26 confirmed cases of measles. Yes, all the hysteria and just 26 confirmed cases. Please also watch Patrick Quanten UK column interview also for a full understanding of childhood illnesses as diseases of development, this needs to be fully understood as by trying to wipe out childhood disease we may be trying to wipe out childhood

development, of course we don't want children dying or having serious effects from a childhood disease but this shouldn't happen in a healthy child, clearly the places where illnesses like measles can be devastating most is where there is poverty and malnutrition. Their focus on notification and not the death rate from the 1800.s shows their whole mentality is seeing disease as an enemy, death from disease yes, but disease itself is just the body trying to cleanse itself from waste, physical or emotional. The smallpox data was copied from Trevor Gunn's book "comparing natural immunity with vaccination" and sent with the graphs and the whole chapter "vaccine effectiveness-declines in disease " and sent to the department of health. Their reply is found after the graphs, please look at the data, read the reply and make up your own mind. There clearly is an agenda to vaccinate the whole world from birth against more and more alleged microbial attacks and vaccines are seen as the only solutions. Does the data back this up? Decide for yourself. Other replies are from concerns about fluoride and cancer.



## Smallpox data

In England free smallpox vaccines were introduced in 1840 and made compulsory in 1853

Between 1857 and 1859 there were 14,244 deaths from smallpox. Between 1863 and 1865 after a population rise of 7% the death rate rose by 40.8% to 20,059

In 1867 evaders of vaccination were prosecuted. Those left unvaccinated were very few. Between 1870 and 1872 after a population rise of 9% the death rate rose by 123% to 44,840.

Then we see the phenomena of reclassification, at the time all authorities agree that chickenpox is non-fatal. Yet in the 30 years up to 1934, 3,112 people are stated to have died of chickenpox and only 579 of smallpox in England and Wales.

Smallpox was the first illness to be targeted with a mass vaccination campaign in 1840, which in fact led to a dramatic increase in death rates from smallpox when most other illnesses without vaccines were experiencing a steady decline.

## Unclear dates on graphs

### Diphtheria

Notifications            0-1200            date 1950-1988

Death-rate              0-1000            date 1866-1969



### Whooping cough

Notifications            0-200 000        date 1950-1985

### Scarlet fever


Death-rate              0-2500            date 1866-1969

**Notifications of Measles to ONS**  
*England and Wales (1940-1995)*




Diphtheria Notifications (E&W) Source: OPCS



Vaccine  
(1940)



Diphtheria Deaths Per Million Children (Under 15 Years Old)



**Whooping Cough Notifications (E&W)**  
 From: HMSO Book Source: OPCS



**FIGURE 8.12. Whooping cough: death rates of children under 15: England and Wales.**

**Tetanus notification to ONS**  
England and Wales (1969-1995)








FIGURE 8.11. Tetanus: mean annual death rates: England and Wales.

**Notifications of tuberculosis and deaths to ONS  
England and Wales (1940-1995)**



**Respiratory tuberculosis: mean annual death-rates E&W.**





### Fraud

“A false representation of a matter of facts whether by words or conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of what should have been disclosed-that deceives and is intended to deceive another so that the individual will act upon it to her or his legal injury”



Bodach@Hotmail.co.uk  
10 MAR 2014



Department  
of Health

Your Ref: 14/18.1/ag/ew

PO00000841071

Andrew George MP  
Trewella  
18 Mennaye Road  
Penzance TR18 4NG

From Jane Ellison MP  
Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for Public Health

Richmond House  
75 Whitehall  
London  
SW1A 2NS  
Tel: 020 7210 4650

06 MAR 2014

Dear Andrew

Thank you for your letter of 6 February on behalf of your constituent  
about the publication *Comparing  
Natural Immunity with Vaccination* by Trevor Gunn.

Public Health England (PHE), the national organisation for the improvement of  
public health outcomes, has provided the following information.

As Mr Ryder may be aware, the Government is advised on all immunisation matters  
by the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI), which is an  
independent Departmental Expert Committee and a statutory body. In providing  
advice and making recommendations, it considers all currently available relevant  
evidence, including both published and unpublished information from a variety of  
sources. These sources include, but are not limited to, publications by scientists in  
peer-reviewed journals, opinion of experts in the field and information provided by  
vaccine manufacturers. Data from the Office for National Statistics, some of which  
are unpublished, may also be reviewed. The recommendations of the JCVI are  
translated into guidelines for best practice which are published in the 'Green Book'  
*Immunisation against infectious disease*, a PHE publication.

*Comparing Natural Immunity with Vaccination* is the opinion of one individual and  
is not a peer-reviewed publication. It would not be considered as a reliable source of  
information for consideration by the JCVI. The JCVI takes into account reliable  
data on disease and death as a result of vaccine-preventable infectious diseases.  
These data are provided by surveillance schemes such as those run by PHE. More  
information on these surveillance schemes and links to reports and data can be found  
on the website [www.hpa.org.uk](http://www.hpa.org.uk) by selecting 'topics A-Z' and then 'vaccinations'.

Such data are considered when JCVI decisions are made and used to develop information which appears in the Green Book.

Mr Gunn criticises a solely orthodox medical approach to health and illness and suggests that there are other ways in which serious disease can be avoided. Unfortunately, the data in the publication, which [redacted] included in his letter, only show death rates in England and Wales. By failing to consider the effects of vaccination on the impact of serious disease (as well as death), these graphs do not give an accurate picture of the impact of immunisation on public health.

The very large decreases in death rates in England and Wales that are shown in the figures since the late 1800s may be due to a variety of factors, including improved sanitation and a better understanding of the ways in which transmission of infectious diseases can be prevented. There is no evidence to suggest that the transient increase in death rates due to smallpox in the 1870s was causally related to the introduction of the vaccine. The introduction of the smallpox vaccine had a dramatic effect on the incidence of disease and death worldwide and resulted in the World Health Organization announcing in 1980 that smallpox had officially been eradicated.

With regard to issues of consent, immunisation in the United Kingdom is based on informed consent. Consent must be obtained before starting any treatment or physical investigation or before providing personal care for a patient. This includes the administration of all vaccines.

The NIIS Choices website states that *for consent to be valid, it must be voluntary and informed, and the person consenting must have the capacity to make the decision*” and that *the person must be given full information about what the treatment involves, including the benefits and risks, whether there are reasonable alternative treatments, and what will happen if treatment does not go ahead.*

PHE provides a range of leaflets, newsletters and other sources of information which are freely available and which enable people to make informed decisions about accepting medical treatment, including vaccination. In addition, healthcare workers discuss the possible implications of treatment (both positive and negative) with individuals prior to that treatment being given.

Finally, the JCVI, PHE and the Department of Health only consider issues which affect human, not animal, health and so I am unable to comment on any possible relationship between immunisation programmes in people and vaccination of badgers as a means of controlling bovine TB. This issue is one for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. [redacted] may therefore wish to contact that Department for more information. The contact details are:



Department  
of Health

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs  
Nobel House  
17 Smith Square  
London SW1P 3JR

I hope this reply is helpful.

*Kind regards*

*Jane*

**JANE ELLISON**

- 8 SEP 2014



Department  
of Health

Your ref: 14/18.1/ag/jr

PO00000880159

Andrew George MP  
Trewella  
18 Mennaye Road  
Penzance TR18 4NG

From Jane Ellison MP  
Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for Public Health

Richmond House  
79 Whitehall  
London  
SW1A 2NS

Tel: 020 7210 4850

05 SEP 2014

*Dear Andrew*

Thank you for your letter of 5 August on behalf of your constituent Mr Robert Ryder of ..... about water fluoridation.

Tooth decay remains a public health problem with wide inequalities between communities. It is also one of the most common reasons for children to be admitted to hospital.

The research evidence suggests that fluoridating water is the most effective step we can take to reduce tooth decay generally at a population level, both among children and adults. The ideal combination for good dental health is likely to involve drinking fluoridated water, professionally delivered dental care and helping people adopt healthy lifestyles.

Most water supplies contain some natural fluoride content and around half a million people in the UK receive naturally fluoridated water at levels close to those achieved by fluoridation schemes. Over five million people in England are served by water supplies where the level of fluoride has been adjusted to the recommended level of one part per million. There are extensive water fluoridation schemes in the USA serving over 200 million people, and similar schemes operate in a number of other countries, such as Australia. The chemicals used by water companies in England to adjust levels of fluoride are procured specifically for this purpose and are highly regulated.

As Mr Ryder is aware, water fluoridation has been extensively studied and reviewed over the last 50 years. In the UK, the most recent review was undertaken by the NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination based at the University of York and published in 2000. In 2002, the Medical Research Council reported to the

---

Department of Health its advice on future research priorities. The US National Research Council reported in 2006 and the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council reported in 2007. The evidence from these reports is that community water fluoridation schemes are effective in reducing tooth decay levels and there is no evidence that these schemes are a cause of general ill health.

The fluoride chemicals used to fluoridate drinking water are hydrofluorosilicic acid, sodium fluorosilicate, and sodium fluoride. Water companies are only permitted to use specified fluoridation chemicals in accordance with European standards. These standards specify purity levels and producers are not permitted to sell chemicals unless these purity criteria are met.

Under the Health and Social Care Act 2012, a new approval process was introduced on the way that the NHS and public health service introduce fluoridation schemes. The Act transfers responsibility for consultations on proposals for new fluoridation schemes to local authorities. Not only will this increase democratic accountability but also, in preparing new regulations on the conduct of consultations, ministers will be looking to ensure that fuller account of the views of the people that would be affected is taken in decisions on fluoridation.

The Government is resolved that decisions on any new schemes are taken locally after wide ranging consultation. I would like to assure Mr Ryder that no scheme should go ahead unless there was clear local support, and both opponents and supporters of fluoridation have been given a platform to discuss their views.

I also note Mr Ryder's concerns about immunisation. Research from around the world shows that immunisation is the safest and most effective way to protect children against infectious diseases that can cause serious long-term ill-health, including mental and/or physical disability, and premature death. The UK's childhood immunisation programme has resulted in a very low incidence of childhood infectious diseases. For example, diphtheria, polio and neonatal tetanus no longer occur in UK children.

The vaccinations included in the NHS routine childhood immunisation schedule have been recommended by experts after consideration of a wide range of evidence including evidence about efficacy and safety. The vaccines have undergone rigorous testing with large numbers of people before being licensed, and their safety is continuously monitored to discover and assess any rare side-effects. These recommended vaccines are among the safest medicines available.

Vaccine safety is of paramount importance and, as with all vaccines and medicines, the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency and the Government's



Department  
of Health

independent expert advisory Commission on Human Medicines keeps the safety of vaccines under close and continual review.

I hope this reply is helpful.

*Kind regards  
Jane*

JANE ELLISON



Department  
of Health

Your Ref: 14/18.1/ag/cw

PO00000865738

Andrew George MP  
Trewella  
18 Mennaye Road  
Penzance TR18 4NG

7 JUL 2014

From Jane Ellison MP  
Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for Public Health

Richmond House  
79 Whitehall  
London  
SW1A 2NS

Tel: 020 7210 4850

03 JUL 2014

Dear Andrew

Thank you for your letter of 4 June on behalf of your constituent Mr Robert Ryder of ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ about immunisation.

Research from around the world shows that immunisation is the safest way to protect a child's health. The vaccinations included in the NHS routine childhood immunisation schedule have been recommended by experts, after consideration of a wide range of evidence, including evidence about efficacy and adverse reactions. The vaccines have undergone rigorous testing with large numbers of people before being licensed and their safety is continuously monitored to discover and assess any rare side effects. These recommended vaccines are among the safest medicines.

Immunisation protects children against diseases which, even today in developed countries, can cause serious long-term ill-health, including mental and/or physical disability, and even kill. The childhood immunisation programme in the UK has resulted in the incidence of childhood diseases being at very low levels.

In the UK, these diseases are kept at bay by high immunisation rates. Around the world, more than 15 million people a year die from infectious diseases. More than half of these are children under the age of five. Most of these deaths could be prevented by immunisation. As more people travel abroad and more people come to visit this country, there is a risk that they will bring these diseases into the UK. The diseases may spread to people who have not been immunised.

As set out in my previous reply (our ref: PO00000841071), the Government is advised on all immunisation matters by the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI), and Mr Ryder, or you on his behalf, may therefore wish to contact it directly.

With regard to Mr Ryder's concerns about cancer, the Department of Health is fully committed to clinical and applied research into treatment and cures for cancer. The Department's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) welcomes funding applications for research into any aspect of human health, including cancer. These applications are subject to peer review and judged in open competition, with awards being made on the basis of the scientific quality of the proposals made. The NIHR spends around £100million annually on cancer research.

In August 2011, the Department of Health announced the UK's largest ever investment, £800million, in 'early stage' health research that will fund advances in diagnosis, prevention and treatment, benefiting patients with diseases including cancer, diabetes and heart disease. Of the £800million, £61.5million is for the NIHR biomedical research unit in cancer, a partnership between the Royal Marsden Hospital and the Institute of Cancer Research.

I hope this reply is helpful.

*Kind regards*  
*Jane*

JANE ELLISON